

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,625	09/27/2001	Yar-Ming Wang	GP-301034	9716
7:	590 01/28/2003			
JEFFREY A. SEDLAR			EXAMINER	
General Motors Legal Staff, Ma	S Corporation ail Code 428-C23-B21		WONG,	EDNA
P.O. Box 300				
Detroit, MI 48	3265-3000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	7
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	anglicant(s)	
09/963,625	ANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edna Wong	1753	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspond nce address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination	1 (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) The ever	period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no not, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  LY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions have been filed 37 CFR 1.17(a) (b) above, if che	.07(f). s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ecked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1	tice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in FR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The p	proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🛭 t	hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	hey raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
į.	hey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the ssues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.☐ Applic	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment seling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠ The a appli	a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the ication in condition for allowance because: <u>See "NOTE" below</u> .
raise	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly ed by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠ For p expl	burposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b) $\square$ will be entered and an anation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Clair	m(s) allowed:
Clair	m(s) objected to:
Clair	m(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .
	m(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The	proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.□ Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.☐ Othe	er: SmuUmg Edna Word Primary Examiner
	Art Unit: 1753

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/963,625

5

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly presented claim limitation of the step of coloring the clear coating of aluminum oxide to produce a colored coating or a decorative finish was not presented in any original claim or in any non-final amended claim. Therefore, the newly presented claim limitation raises new issues that would require further consideration and search. See for example, Fukuda et al. (US Patent No. 5,166,020) and Korte (US Patent No. 6,309,427 B1). Furthermore, Applicants state that the coloring step was implied in the claims filed ("Remarks", page 3, lines 26-27). The Examiner deems that an implied claim limitation is not a positive or required claim limitation and does not need to be considered as one in the searching of the claims.